

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24

**Wonder, Love, and Praise**  
**Sharing a Vision of the Church**

**Committee on Faith and Order**  
**The United Methodist Church**

25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47

## Introduction

United Methodists are in need of a renewed vision today: not just a new view—which might be just the latest rationale for the latest operational program—but a renewed capacity to see and apprehend what “church” is all about. With our fellow Christians everywhere, we witness a rapidly changing church, both within our denomination and within the larger Christian movement around the world. Migration, immigration, and the push and pull of globalizing forces are reconfiguring the face of Christianity, as well as the larger religious make-up of the human family. Old customs and certainties are being challenged and a yet-unclear future beckons. United Methodists, too, wish to enter into that future with joy, resilience, grace, and hope.

Yet, many factors seem to be conspiring to create in us moods and dispositions of quite another sort. In places where United Methodism finds itself numbered among mainline (or “old-line”) Protestant denominations, the “narrative of decline” has held us in its sway, often with encouragement from adherents of avowedly rival forms of (or, in some cases, substitutes for) Christianity—some of which may not in fact be in the best of health themselves. At the same time, surveys indicate that a growing proportion of populations in some regions of former Christian dominance claim no religious affiliation at all. Some identify themselves as “spiritual but not religious,” while others are more secularist in orientation; but many in either of these

48 camps view the Christian churches in general as havens for prejudice, hypocrisy, and fear, which  
49 have outlived whatever positive purpose they may once have had.<sup>1</sup> Growing awareness of  
50 instances of sexual misconduct and other sorts of malfeasance on the part of pastors and other  
51 church leaders across the denominational spectrum—and of the frequent complicity of church  
52 authorities in facilitating, hiding, and excusing such conduct—has not enhanced public trust in  
53 the institutional church.

54 To some extent, these are all issues for the church around the world; but in different parts  
55 of the world—in Africa, the Americas, Asia, Europe, and Oceania—there are also distinctive  
56 challenges linked to distinctive religious, political, and cultural contexts. Some of these have to  
57 do with the civil government and polity of the country or region concerned, and the way that  
58 churches or religions (or particular churches or religions) are regarded and treated by the state.  
59 Some have to do with the religious history and religious demographics of the context, and with  
60 the way the church is perceived against that background.

61 The dramatic recent growth of The United Methodist Church in parts of Africa and Asia,  
62 and the increasing visibility and involvement of United Methodists from other countries in its  
63 leadership, are gradually bringing United Methodists in the United States to a greater (if belated)  
64 awareness that theirs is, if not a “global” or “worldwide” church, at least not simply an American  
65 denomination. This reality brings a number of new factors into play. It challenges the adequacy  
66 of a polity that has been essentially U.S.-centric, taking for granted a basic, normative national  
67 identity for the denomination. It greatly expands the range of cultural differences to be found

---

<sup>1</sup> “‘Nones’ on the Rise: One-in-Five Adults Have No Religious Affiliation,” *The Pew Forum on Religion and Public Life*, October 9, 2012, at <http://www.pewforum.org/2012/10/09/nones-on-the-rise/>.

68 within the church, and the range of issues that the church faces in carrying out its mission. At  
69 these and other points, our common self-understanding as a church has lagged behind the pace of  
70 change in our actual situation. Wherever we United Methodists find ourselves, we need fresh  
71 vision, and a broadening of horizons.

72         It is a happy concurrence that, as our reflection as a Committee on Faith and Order got  
73 underway, the broader Faith and Order movement—through the Faith and Order Commission of  
74 the World Council of Churches—released its new long-awaited study, *The Church: Towards a*  
75 *Common Vision* in 2013.<sup>2</sup> Like the earlier landmark ecumenical document, *Baptism, Eucharist,*  
76 *and Ministry* (1982), this one aims to represent the extent to which long-separated Christian  
77 communities are finding common ground in their understanding and practice.

78         Some may wonder why the appearance of *The Church: Towards a Common Vision*  
79 should be viewed as a “happy concurrence.” Why should United Methodists engage this  
80 ecumenical document in our own search for a renewed ecclesiological vision? What is at stake in  
81 the conversation?

82         A response to these questions might begin with a reminder that the search for Christian  
83 unity is misunderstood if it is taken to mean only a painstaking process of inter-church  
84 diplomacy among experts aimed at reconciling the doctrines and politics of separate  
85 denominations, important as that dedicated work may be. Even less is it an exercise in nostalgia,  
86 trying to recover power, place, and prestige in society now long gone. *At its heart, the search for*  
87 *Christian unity is nothing other than a search for the reality of the church itself—and it is a*

---

<sup>2</sup> Faith and Order Paper No. 214 (Geneva: World Council of Churches, 2014), downloadable at <http://www.oikoumene.org/en/resources/documents/wcc-commissions/faith-and-order-commission/i-unity-the-church-and-its-mission/the-church-towards-a-common-vision>. It is also available there in French, German, Spanish, Korean, Finnish, and Italian versions.

88 *search in which all of us are involved.* It is a prayerful quest to realize the unity for which Jesus  
89 prays when, in the gospel according to John, he asks the Father that those to whom “eternal life”  
90 is given “may all be one . . . that they may be one, as we are one, I in them and you in me, that  
91 they may become completely one . . .” (John 17:20-23 NRSV). Mission and unity are  
92 inextricably connected. The recent ecumenical document *Together towards Life* aptly warns:  
93 “The lack of full and real unity in mission still harms the authenticity and credibility of the  
94 fulfillment of God’s mission in this world.”<sup>3</sup> At stake, then, in the search for Christian unity is  
95 the integrity of the mission of the body of Christ as a whole. At stake, by implication, is the  
96 integrity of our United Methodist mission as part of the church universal.

97         It would be unwise to act as if that unity were already fully known within each separate  
98 “church,” so that the only remaining task is to bring them together. In a Christian movement now  
99 present on all continents, taking form in hundreds of languages and cultures, we stand in  
100 desperate need of new models for grasping and living—within this very diversity—the genuine  
101 unity for which Jesus prayed.

102         It may be no accident that the “ecumenical winter” of recent years has seen not only a  
103 cooling of interest in overcoming divisions among the churches, but also a troubling increase in  
104 divisions *within* some churches, sometimes leading to new separations. The two may be closely  
105 related. As we reflect on the ways we ourselves have dealt with our disagreements and with one  
106 another in recent years in The United Methodist Church, we may have to confess that spirits  
107 have been at work among us that are other than the Spirit of Christ. Our readiness at times to

---

<sup>3</sup> *Together towards Life: Mission and Evangelism in Changing Landscapes—with a Practical Guide*, edited by Jooseop Keum (Geneva: World Council of Churches, 2013), p. 23; the text is available electronically at

<http://www.oikoumene.org/en/resources/documents/commissions/mission-and-evangelism/together-towards-life-mission-and-evangelism-in-changing-landscapes>.

108 label other members of the body as the agents of those alien spirits, rather than to examine our  
109 own hearts, is *prima facie* evidence of that fact. To engage in prayerful reflection upon the  
110 nature and mission of the church, seeking to share a common vision, may therefore be a needful  
111 exercise in repentance and reorientation, leading, we may hope, to newness of life. As *Towards*  
112 *a Common Vision* reminds us, the unity we seek as Christians is a unity to be realized, not a unity  
113 to be either assumed or imposed. It is a gift from God, and one that continually transforms those  
114 who receive it.

115 Not surprisingly, the eminent Scottish missiologist Andrew Walls predicted that the great  
116 issues facing the body of Christ in the twenty-first century will be *ecumenical* issues—namely,  
117 how “African and Indian and Chinese and Korean and North American and European Christians  
118 can together make real the life of the body of Christ.”<sup>4</sup> Thus, to ask “ecumenical” questions  
119 about Christian unity-in-diversity is by the nature of the case to ask “missional” questions.  
120 Indeed, such questions take us to the heart of the matter in our struggle as United Methodists to  
121 discern our ecclesiological identity and witness today: How might United Methodists  
122 characterize our particular role within the “Church Universal”? What is our niche in the ecclesial  
123 ecology? What insights might our deep attention to the ecumenical discussion generate for  
124 dealing more constructively and effectively with the vexing issues surrounding “legitimate  
125 diversity,” both as they affect our own life and mission in The United Methodist Church and in  
126 our ongoing relations with other Christian communities? How might a renewed vision of the  
127 reality of the church help us toward a better ordering of our common life? How might it lead us

---

<sup>4</sup> Andrew F. Walls, “From Christendom to World Christianity,” in *The Cross-Cultural Process in Christian History: Studies in the Transmission and Appropriation of Faith* (Orbis, 2002), 69.

128 into more constructive relationships with persons of other religious faiths and traditions, as well  
129 as with those who identify with none?

130         In confronting these questions, a conversation with *The Church: Towards a Common*  
131 *Vision* has much to offer—and United Methodists would be wise to drink deeply from this  
132 wisdom, as we have in the past. The fact that this ecumenical text is the product of a sustained  
133 global effort involving Christians from many different traditions, cultures, and circumstances  
134 may enable it to speak to our United Methodist situation in ways that will generate new  
135 possibilities.

136         Given the participation of members of The United Methodist Church and its predecessor  
137 bodies, along with members of other churches in the Methodist family, at every stage of the  
138 crafting of *The Church: Towards a Common Vision*, we should not be surprised that the leading  
139 themes and affirmations of this document resonate strongly with our own particular heritage. At  
140 the same time, by grounding its account of the church in a vision that is often more implicit than  
141 explicit in our own tradition, *The Church: Towards a Common Vision* may assist us in bringing  
142 our ecclesiology to more coherent expression. As United Methodists, we have a considerable  
143 store of affirmations concerning the church, drawn from resources throughout the broader  
144 Christian tradition and found in our hymnody and liturgy as well as in official statements of  
145 doctrine and polity. However, these affirmations and references tend to remain scattered and  
146 isolated from one another.<sup>5</sup> Our ongoing encounter with a wide range of ecumenical partners is

---

<sup>5</sup> A resource paper of the Committee on Faith and Order prepared by Russell E. Richey has gathered and organized many of these references, showing their range and value as resources for contemporary thinking: *United Methodist Doctrine and Teaching On the Nature, Mission, and Faithfulness of the Church*, available at [http://www.gbhem.org/sites/default/files/documents/publications/DOM\\_Nature\\_Mission\\_Faithfulness\\_of\\_Church.pdf](http://www.gbhem.org/sites/default/files/documents/publications/DOM_Nature_Mission_Faithfulness_of_Church.pdf).

147 leading us to a deeper and more empowering understanding both of what we have in common  
148 and of our distinctive vocation as “part of the Church Universal.” For all these reasons, *The*  
149 *Church: Towards a Common Vision* will be an important conversation partner in our effort to  
150 formulate a United Methodist ecclesial vision in the pages that follow.

151

## 152 **I. Our Approach to an Understanding of the Church**

153 The communities of Christian faith that came together in 1968 to create The United  
154 Methodist Church shared some distinctive convictions that, insofar as it is true to its origins,  
155 continue to energize and guide its life and witness. Among these are the convictions that the  
156 saving love of God is meant for all people, not just for a favored few; that it is a transformative  
157 love; and that it is a community-creating love.

158 *The saving love of God is meant for all people:* “God our Savior . . . desires everyone to  
159 be saved and to come to the knowledge of the truth” (1 Timothy 2:3-4). John Wesley’s comment  
160 on this statement in his *Explanatory Notes upon the New Testament* emphasizes the “everyone”:  
161 *all of humankind is included in this desire—“Not a part only, much less the smallest part.”* He  
162 also notes another implication of the statement: “They are not compelled.”<sup>6</sup> The grace of God  
163 extended to all does not override human freedom, but activates it, so that our salvation, while  
164 entirely a gift, involves our free participation. These two points about the universality of God’s  
165 saving love are repeated throughout his writing and embodied in his ministry. They were  
166 essential to Wesley’s understanding of the gospel, and to the power of the movement he inspired.  
167 They remain a vital part of United Methodist affirmation.

---

<sup>6</sup> John Wesley, *Explanatory Notes upon the New Testament* (London: The Epworth Press, 1950), p. 775.



168           *The saving love of God is transformative:* To use the language familiar to Wesley and his  
169 contemporaries, as God’s grace is accepted in faith, it brings both “justification,” the restoration  
170 of a right relationship with God, and “sanctification,” the renewal of our very being. There is a  
171 new birth. The love of God *for* us becomes the love of God *in* us. In the words of the apostle  
172 Paul, “For freedom Christ has set us free” (Galatians 5:1), and being “called to freedom,” we are  
173 to “live by the Spirit,” which means living by the love of God that empowers us to put aside “the  
174 works of the flesh” and to bear “the fruit of the Spirit . . . love, joy, peace, patience, kindness,  
175 generosity, faithfulness, gentleness, and self-control” (Galatians 5:13, 16, 19, 22-23). A hallmark  
176 of John Wesley’s preaching, and of the preaching and testimony of the people called Methodist  
177 through the years, is that such an experienced, here-and-now transformation of human life by the  
178 power of the Holy Spirit is real.

179           *The saving love of God creates community:* The transformation just described is by its  
180 very nature a transformation of our relationships with others. It is through others that we  
181 experience the love of God; it is with others that the pattern of new life that God gives is both  
182 learned and lived out. Much of the language in the New Testament descriptive of the church  
183 originates in the early Christian experience of the community-forming power of the Spirit. The  
184 church does not come into being because isolated individuals experience God’s saving love and  
185 then take the initiative to seek out other individuals with whom to form a group. The church  
186 comes into being because the Spirit of God leads us into community—perhaps with persons with  
187 whom we would least expect to associate—as the very matrix of our salvation. That Spirit-  
188 formed community becomes the context within which we enter into the new life God offers us,  
189 and it is a community whose reach is constantly being extended as its members, in the power of  
190 the Spirit, offer the gift of community to others, and likewise receive it from them. In that very

191 Spirit, Wesley and those in connection with him found themselves moving beyond the  
192 established norms of churchly behavior, and challenging the church, by their own example, to  
193 enact more fully God’s gift of community. Thus the term “connection” took on new resonances  
194 of meaning, as what Wesley called “social holiness”—the growth in love and in the other fruits  
195 of the Spirit that is possible only in community—was realized in new situations and settings.  
196 This willingness to transgress boundaries of convention, class, and culture in pursuit of God’s  
197 gift of community, notes United Methodist historian Russell Richey, illumines connectionalism’s  
198 essentially missional character. From the beginning, connectionalism stood in service of mission,  
199 tuning every aspect of Methodist communal life—from structure to polity to discipline—to an  
200 “evangelizing and reforming” purpose.<sup>7</sup> Connectionalism, affirms the United Methodist mission  
201 document *Grace upon Grace*, “expresses our missional life. . . . [It is United Methodism’s]  
202 means of discovering mission and supporting mission; in this bonding we seek to understand and  
203 enact our life of service.”<sup>8</sup>

204 Together, these convictions shape our United Methodist understanding of what it is to be  
205 the church. The ways they have come to expression in our history account in part for our  
206 particular ways of being the church, within the larger body of Christ.

207  
208 The United Methodist Church traces its origins to certain movements of Christian  
209 renewal and revitalization within the established churches of Europe in the seventeenth and

---

<sup>7</sup> Russell E. Richey, with Dennis M. Campbell and William B. Lawrence, *Marks of Methodism: Theology In Ecclesial Practice* (Abingdon, 2005), 31-32.

<sup>8</sup> *Grace Upon Grace: The Mission Statement of The United Methodist Church* (Nashville: Graded Press, 1990), 36. A link to an electronic version of this document may be found on the blog site UM & Global, <http://www.umglobal.org/>.

210 eighteenth centuries. Methodism, or the Wesleyan Revival, was the most prominent and durable  
211 of a number of such movements in eighteenth-century Britain. Its leader, John Wesley, was an  
212 ordained minister in the Church of England. His aim was not to create a new church, separate  
213 from the Church of England, but to help that church toward a recovery of its spiritual vitality and  
214 its mission. He and the early Methodists adopted some unconventional ways to bring the gospel  
215 of Christ to many sorts of people who were not being reached, or were not being reached  
216 effectively, by the established church. Wesley's own practice of traveling to where the people  
217 were and preaching—in an open field, if necessary—wherever and whenever a group of hearers  
218 could be gathered, his commissioning and training of lay preachers to do likewise, and the  
219 organization of those hearers who were receiving the gospel into small groups for mutual support  
220 and growth in grace, led to the emergence of a “connection” of people across Britain and Ireland  
221 that eventually (and only after Wesley's death) took on the full identity of a distinct  
222 manifestation of the Christian church.

223         Meanwhile on the continent of Europe another movement known as Pietism had been  
224 underway within the churches of the Protestant Reformation. Like Wesley and his people, the  
225 Pietists were intent upon realizing the transformative power of the Holy Spirit and upon the  
226 spread of the gospel. Like the Methodists, they included in their mission efforts to improve the  
227 conditions of life among the poor and vulnerable, to support popular education and the  
228 dissemination of knowledge, and to be a Christian presence where such a presence had not yet  
229 been known. In fact, a significant influence on John Wesley's life and thought was his  
230 acquaintance with representatives of this movement, with whom he engaged at various points in  
231 his life. He and the Pietists had their differences, but they also recognized a deep kinship.

232 Participants in both the Methodist movement and varieties of Pietism (which would help  
233 to shape the United Brethren and the Evangelical Association) made their way to North America,  
234 where they encountered each other as well as some other awakening movements within the  
235 Christian churches already present there. They continued their efforts in this new context. There  
236 was occasional interaction between Methodists and some of the Pietist leaders and people, and  
237 there were some attempts—though none succeeded, in those days—to unify the movements.  
238 Both Methodists and Pietists struggled with their relationships to the churches from which they  
239 came, and both movements, under the pressure of circumstances, eventually found themselves  
240 taking the form of distinct churches. For the most part, it was not doctrinal differences but  
241 practical circumstances that led to their making that transition. In the case of the Methodists, the  
242 aftermath of the American Revolution was decisive in that it severed the ties with the Church of  
243 England (however tenuous they may have already been) that Wesley and his assistants had  
244 always hoped to maintain.

245 As they took on a churchly identity, the movements bore witness in various ways to the  
246 radical aims and effect of God’s grace. Whether or not the African American preachers, Harry  
247 Hosier and Richard Allen, attended the organizing “Christmas Conference” of the Methodist  
248 Episcopal Church in Baltimore, Maryland in 1784, the church undertook there to continue its  
249 mission of ministering zealously to both slaves and freed persons of African descent, as well as  
250 to all others within reach. The initial publication of its *Doctrine and Discipline* (the precursor of  
251 today’s *Book of Discipline*) courageously mandated its adherents to the freeing of any slaves  
252 held. The 1784 conference also prefigured in a symbolic way the new churches’ eventual  
253 ministry across numerous ethnic and linguistic boundaries: William Otterbein—pastor of  
254 Baltimore’s Evangelical Reformed Church (which helped to host the conference) and later leader

255 of the United Brethren denomination—participated along with the Anglican Thomas Coke in the  
256 ordination of Francis Asbury. Later on, Jacob Albright worshiped with the Methodists before  
257 leading other German-speaking converts in forming the Evangelical Association.

258         There has followed a complex and often ambiguous history of accomplishments and  
259 failures, growth and loss, separations and unions, over the past two centuries and more—a very  
260 human history, in which (as its participants would want to testify) God has been steadily at work  
261 both within and despite human plans, decisions, and actions. The American Methodists’ early  
262 commitment to the elimination of slavery was soon compromised, and the ensuing tensions led  
263 to several Sunderings of the denomination in the years prior to the American Civil War. Although  
264 these Sunderings were partially (and imperfectly) mended many years later, their legacy  
265 continues into our own time. A heritage of racism and related difficulties around culture and  
266 social class has affected our common life and our efforts at mission in both overt and subtle ways  
267 throughout our history, even as our core convictions have offered a constant challenge to  
268 overcome it. The United Methodist Church is an heir to, and itself a part of, this history, with its  
269 burden and its promise.

270         Like its predecessors, The United Methodist Church continues to reflect on its identity  
271 and calling as church. Originating in movements that became denominations more or less by  
272 default—and that were instrumental in the development of the modern “denomination” as a  
273 distinctive form of Christian association—the two churches that were joined in 1968 brought  
274 with them a strong awareness of the provisionality and problematic character of any such  
275 denominational arrangements, and perhaps especially of the failure of our separate  
276 denominations to enact the fullness of community to which God summons us. At its founding,  
277 accordingly, The United Methodist Church committed itself to the ongoing quest for Christian

278 unity—a quest to which members of its predecessor bodies had long given significant leadership.  
279 The preamble to its new constitution declared that “[t]he Church of Jesus Christ exists in and for  
280 the world, and its very dividedness is a hindrance to its mission in that world.” Article V (now  
281 Article VI) of Division One of the Constitution described the new body as “part of the Church  
282 Universal,” affirmed that “the Lord of the Church is calling Christians everywhere to strive  
283 toward unity,” and committed The United Methodist Church to “seek, and work for, unity at all  
284 levels of church life.”<sup>9</sup> The formation of the new church was to be understood not as an end in  
285 itself but rather as a relatively modest step on the way to fuller visible unity among Christians.

286         Later, in the revised statement on “Doctrinal Standards and Our Theological Task”  
287 adopted in 1988, these commitments were renewed and given some further elaboration:

288                 With other Christians, we declare the essential oneness of the church in  
289                 Christ Jesus. This rich heritage of shared Christian belief finds expression in our  
290                 hymnody and liturgies. Our unity is affirmed in the historic creeds as we confess  
291                 one holy, catholic, and apostolic church. It is also experienced in joint ventures of  
292                 ministry and in various forms of ecumenical cooperation.

293                 Nourished by common roots of this shared Christian heritage, the branches  
294                 of Christ's church have developed diverse traditions that enlarge our store of  
295                 shared understandings. Our avowed ecumenical commitment as United

---

<sup>9</sup> *The Book of Discipline of The United Methodist Church 1968* (Nashville: The United Methodist Publishing House, 1968), pp. 16-18. (With slight alterations in typography, these statements were retained in the 2012 *Book of Discipline*. An amendment adopted in 2012 and ratified subsequently has made explicit a crucial commitment, namely, a sharing in Christ’s prayer for the unity of the church. The line now reads “. . . and therefore it will pray, seek, and work for, unity at all levels of church life.”)

296 Methodists is to gather our own doctrinal emphases into the larger Christian unity,  
297 there to be made more meaningful in a richer whole.

298 If we are to offer our best gifts to the common Christian treasury, we must  
299 make a deliberate effort as a church to strive for critical self-understanding. It is  
300 as Christians involved in ecumenical partnership that we embrace and examine  
301 our distinctive heritage.<sup>10</sup>

302 The hope that many Christians had, half a century ago, for steady progress in Christian  
303 unity was soon challenged by new developments both within the churches and in the societies of  
304 which they are a part. Faced with a host of social changes, varying in character from one region  
305 to another but including such phenomena as increasing religious pluralism, the social  
306 transformations brought by new technologies, and changes in the role of religion in society, the  
307 churches engaged in the ecumenical quest have sometimes allowed anxiety about their own  
308 institutional survival to dampen their interest in that quest. The temptation is to become more  
309 inwardly-focused, and perhaps to regard our ecumenical partners as competitors in a diminishing  
310 religious marketplace.

311 Still, in the midst of what some have called an “ecumenical winter,” there have been  
312 notable achievements. Bilateral and multilateral dialogues have advanced our mutual  
313 understanding and have sometimes led to new formal relationships between The United  
314 Methodist Church and other bodies. A particularly important precedent for *The Church: Towards*  
315 *a Common Vision* is the earlier Faith and Order convergence text, *Baptism, Eucharist, and*

---

<sup>10</sup> “Doctrinal Standards and Our Theological Task,” *The Book of Discipline of The United Methodist Church 2012* (Nashville: The United Methodist Publishing House, 2012), p. 48 (¶102).

316 *Ministry* (1982),<sup>11</sup> likewise the product of years of ecumenical work at various levels. Principles  
317 and insights from that text came to inform our church's subsequent official study documents on  
318 Baptism and Holy Communion,<sup>12</sup> the subjects of its first two chapters.

319 No similar study document has been offered so far on the subject of its third chapter:  
320 "Ministry." That chapter points toward a substantial amount of convergence among Christian  
321 traditions on various aspects of ministry and ministerial ordering. Still, it has been widely felt  
322 that this chapter was not as rich in constructive possibilities—perhaps not quite as receptive to  
323 the variety of understandings and practices among the churches, and to what might be learned  
324 from them—as the first two. There are probably a number of reasons for this perception, as well  
325 as for the slowness of the churches to find much common ground in this area. It may be that  
326 further progress toward "a mutually recognized ministry" awaits (among other things) a fuller  
327 common apprehension of the ecclesial context of ministry. If so, *Towards a Common Vision* may  
328 have a key role to play in that learning process.

---

<sup>11</sup> Faith and Order Paper No. 111 (Geneva: World Council of Churches, 1982). Downloadable at <http://www.oikoumene.org/en/resources/documents/wcc-commissions/faith-and-order-commission/i-unity-the-church-and-its-mission/baptism-eucharist-and-ministry-faith-and-order-paper-no-111-the-lima-text>. Like the new text on the church, this document—"BEM" for short—involved significant participation by United Methodists and members of other Wesleyan and Methodist communities in its preparation. After its publication, an official United Methodist response to it was offered under the auspices of the Council of Bishops, and another—reflecting a specific European context and set of concerns—was submitted by the Central Conference for Central and Southern Europe. See *Churches Respond to BEM: Official Responses to the "Baptism, Eucharist and Ministry" Text*, vol. II, Faith and Order Paper 132, edited by Max Thurian (Geneva: World Council of Churches, 1986), pp. 177-199, 200-209.

<sup>12</sup> "By Water and the Spirit: A United Methodist Understanding of Baptism" (1996) and "This Holy Mystery" (2004), in *The Book of Resolutions of The United Methodist Church 2012* (Nashville: The United Methodist Publishing House, 2012), pp. 922-942 and 942-991. The full texts are available online at <http://www.gbod.org/resources/by-water-and-the-spirit-full-text> and <http://www.gbod.org/resources/this-holy-mystery-a-united-methodist-understanding-of-holy-communion1>.



329           The United Methodist Church may (and does) affirm itself to be truly the church, but it  
 330 also acknowledges that is not the whole church. We have things to contribute to a wider  
 331 common Christian understanding of the church, and we also have things to learn: things to learn  
 332 about other Christians and churches, and things to learn from them about ourselves. As we  
 333 undertake to realize a renewed ecclesial vision for The United Methodist Church, we are  
 334 committed to doing this work, as we have in the past, in an ecumenical context.

335  
 336

## 337                           **II. A Renewed Vision for The United Methodist Church**

338  
 339

340           The three convictions described above provide a promising guide to the main elements of  
 341 such a vision. We begin with the affirmation that the church is first of all not our creation, but  
 342 God's. It is, of course, a reality that our participation helps to shape, but it originates in the self-  
 343 gift to us of the triune God. *The saving love of God creates community.* From this point, we  
 344 move second to consider the implications for the life of the church that *the saving love of God is*  
 345 *meant for all people*, and third to a consideration of what it is to affirm and realize that *the saving*  
 346 *love of God is transformative.*

346  
 347  
 348

### 349   The Church as a Gift of the Triune God

350           *The saving love of God creates community.* In the classic creeds, the church is mentioned  
 351 immediately after the Holy Spirit. In the Apostles' Creed they are affirmed literally in the same  
 352 breath: "I believe in the Holy Spirit, the holy catholic church . . . ." In the more widely used  
 353 Nicene Creed,<sup>13</sup> "We believe in the one holy catholic and apostolic church" comes just after the

---

<sup>13</sup> Technically the Nicene-Constantinopolitan Creed, the expanded version of the creed of the Council of Nicea (325) adopted by the Council of Constantinople (381) and commonly known thereafter as the Nicene Creed. For the texts of both the Apostles' and the Nicene Creed, see UMH 880-882.

353 profession of faith in the Holy Spirit, who is described as “the Lord, the giver of life.” Evidently,  
 354 in the judgment of the makers of the creeds and of those who have affirmed their faith with them  
 355 through the centuries, the church has something to do with the Spirit’s giving of life. As the  
 356 early Christian writer Irenaeus of Lyon declared succinctly: “Where the church is, there also is  
 357 the Spirit of God; and where the Spirit of God is, there is the church and all grace.”<sup>14</sup>

358         One luminous sentence in the first paragraph of *Towards a Common Vision* speaks to this  
 359 point, and at the same time provides a key to the understanding of the church that the document  
 360 as a whole presents: “Communion, whose source is the very life of the Holy Trinity, is both the  
 361 gift by which the Church lives and, at the same time, the gift that God calls the Church to offer to  
 362 a wounded and divided humanity in hope of reconciliation and healing” (1, p. 5).<sup>15</sup>

363         It is *communion* that the Spirit gives, and that animates—or we might say, creates—the  
 364 church. In the Greek of the New Testament, the term is *koinonia*: a word that is properly  
 365 translated in a variety of ways depending on context and usage, including “communion,”  
 366 “sharing,” participation,” “partaking,” “fellowship,” and “community.” The “communion of the  
 367 Holy Spirit” of 2 Cor. 13:13, the “sharing in the body of Christ” of 1 Cor. 10:16, the “becom[ing]  
 368 participants of the divine nature” of 2 Peter 1:4, all involve this reality of *koinonia*.<sup>16</sup> The “gift by

---

<sup>14</sup> Irenaeus, *Adversus Haereses* III, 24, 1, cited in Boris Bobrinskoy, *Le mystère de l’Église: Cours de théologie dogmatique* (Paris: Cerf, 2003), p. 14.

<sup>15</sup> In parenthetical references to passages in *Towards a Common Vision*, the paragraph number will be given, followed by the page number of the printed English version. The paragraphs are numbered consecutively throughout the text’s four chapters and conclusion.

<sup>16</sup> “The grace of the Lord Jesus Christ, the love of God, and the communion of [note: or “and the sharing in”] the Holy Spirit be with all of you” (2 Cor 13:13 NRSV). “The cup of blessing that we bless, is it not a sharing in the blood of Christ? The bread that we break, is it not a sharing in the body of Christ?” (1 Cor 10:16 NRSV). “Thus he has given us, through these things, his precious and very great promises, so that through them you may escape from the corruption that

369 which the church lives” is simply the love of God poured out for us, decisively in the life and  
370 ministry of Jesus Christ, a love in which we are invited to share. The life of the church is a  
371 sharing in the life of the Triune God, and the mission of the church is to communicate that  
372 possibility to a world in need: to serve as “sign and servant” (25, p. 15) of God’s saving presence  
373 to the world. The invocation of the Holy Spirit in the “Great Thanksgiving” at Holy Communion  
374 makes these connections well:

375           Pour out your Holy Spirit on us, gathered here, and on these gifts of bread and  
376           wine.

377           Make them be for us the body and blood of Christ, that we may be for the world  
378           the body of Christ, redeemed by his blood.

379           By your Spirit make us one with Christ, one with each other, and one in ministry  
380           to all the world . . . .<sup>17</sup>

381           Aspects of our own Wesleyan heritage resonate deeply with this affirmation of the  
382           centrality of *koinonia* to the life and mission of the church. When John Wesley, in a late sermon  
383           on “The New Creation,” wished to portray the final goal of human life—the end for which we  
384           are created, and to which we are to be restored through Christ—he used these words: “And to  
385           crown all, there will be a deep, an intimate, an uninterrupted union with God; a constant

---

is in the world because of lust, and may become participants of the divine nature” (2 Peter 1:4 NRSV). Further passages are cited in the brief discussion of the term *koinonia* to be found in paragraph 13 (p. 10) of *Towards a Common Vision*.

<sup>17</sup> “Word and Table: Service I,” *The United Methodist Hymnal* (Nashville: The United Methodist Publishing House, 1989), p. 10.

386 communion with the Father and his Son Jesus Christ, through the Spirit; a continual enjoyment  
387 of the Three-One God, and of all the creatures in him!”<sup>18</sup> For Wesley, and for his followers, we  
388 are given a foretaste of this goal, and more than a foretaste, here and now. Salvation is “a  
389 present thing,” Wesley declared; the term rightly embraces “the entire work of God, from the  
390 first dawning of grace in the soul till it is consummated in glory.”<sup>19</sup> Human beings are “created  
391 in the image of God, and designed to know, to love, and to enjoy [their] Creator to all eternity.”<sup>20</sup>  
392 Wesley’s understanding of our “fallen” state involves the distortion or loss of those capacities for  
393 knowledge, love, and joy—in short, for communion with God and with one another—and  
394 salvation involves their recovery and their eventual fulfillment in glory, when (as his brother  
395 Charles memorably wrote) we are to be “lost in wonder, love, and praise.”<sup>21</sup> The history of  
396 salvation is, as *Towards a Common Vision* puts it, “the dynamic history of God’s restoration of  
397 *koinonia*” (1, p. 5). To the extent that these Wesleyan themes still inform our witness, hymnody,  
398 and common life, we have ample reason to make our own the affirmation that communion is  
399 indeed “the gift by which the church lives,” and the gift that it is called to offer the world.

400         We might want to say, then, that, theologically understood, the church is not an  
401 association of like-minded individuals serving purposes they may have devised for themselves.

---

<sup>18</sup> John Wesley, “The New Creation,” *Sermons II*, edited by Albert C. Outler, *The Works of John Wesley*, volume 2 (Nashville: Abingdon Press, 1985), p. 510.

<sup>19</sup> John Wesley, “The Scripture Way of Salvation,” *Sermons II*, edited by Albert C. Outler, *The Works of John Wesley*, volume 2 (Nashville: Abingdon Press, 1985), p. 156.

<sup>20</sup> John Wesley, “God’s Approbation of His Works,” *Sermons II*, edited by Albert C. Outler, *The Works of John Wesley*, volume 2 (Nashville: Abingdon Press, 1985), p. 397. In a wonderful line from one of Charles Wesley’s hymns, we are “ordained to be / transcripts of the Trinity” (“Sinners, Turn: Why Will You Die,” *The United Methodist Hymnal* [Nashville: The United Methodist Publishing House, 1989], #346).

<sup>21</sup> “Love Divine, All Loves Excelling,” *The United Methodist Hymnal*, #384.

402 Instead, it is a community established by God, grounded in the very life of God, an aspect of the  
403 new creation.

404         We might want to say that, but we should not; at least, we should not stop there. It is an  
405 oversimplification. It is correct in what it affirms about the ultimate source of the church's  
406 reality and about what truly sustains it as a manifestation of *koinonia*. But it is mistaken in what  
407 it implicitly denies. The truth—the theological truth, even—is that the church is indeed *also* a  
408 very human community, an association of often all too like-minded individuals, and that it does  
409 also serve human purposes quite distinct from, and sometimes counter to, the purposes of God.

410         This, too, is recognized in the very first chapter of *Towards a Common Vision*, and  
411 throughout the text. To say that “the Church is both a divine and a human reality” (23, p. 14) is  
412 to say that alongside our awareness that the church is a gift of the Triune God, “the creature of  
413 God's Word and of the Holy Spirit,”<sup>22</sup> we must place an equally clear awareness of what its  
414 human reality implies. We must, in our theology itself, come to terms with the human uses of the  
415 church.

416         Like other religious traditions and communities, Christian churches serve a variety of  
417 human needs and purposes, in ways that vary a great deal from one place and time to another.  
418 They commonly serve human needs for order, coherence, stability, belief-reinforcement,  
419 companionship, ethical guidance, and so forth. They are affected at every point by the typical  
420 ways human beings interact with each other in the satisfaction of those needs. They are also put  
421 to use in the service of other interests on the part of adherents and “outsiders” alike, for example,  
422 by being made to serve particular political and economic ends. No one acquainted with the

---

<sup>22</sup> *The Nature and Mission of the Church*, Faith and Order Paper 198 (Geneva: World Council of Churches, 2005), 9, p. 13. This paper was, as its subtitle indicates, a precursor to the 2013 text: “a stage on the way to a common statement.”

423 history of the Christian churches from the earliest centuries onward can fail to acknowledge this  
424 complex intertwining of human needs, desires, ambitions, and fears in that history. Sometimes it  
425 is much easier to recognize those elements in the life of the church in some other place and time  
426 than in one's own.

427         Some of these common human uses are clearly consistent with the church's own mission  
428 as sign and servant of *koinonia*. In such cases, we might say that God's purpose and human  
429 purposes are aligned, in the meeting of genuine human need and in the service of the well-being  
430 of God's creation. In other cases, the human use may be in direct conflict with the divine  
431 purpose—as, for instance, when the church is serving, whether unwittingly or deliberately, as the  
432 instrument of an ideology of national, racial, ethnic, or gender superiority. *Towards a Common*  
433 *Vision* cites one variety of this misuse: “At times, the cultural and religious heritage of those to  
434 whom the Gospel was proclaimed was not given the respect it deserved, as when those engaging  
435 in evangelization were complicit in imperialistic colonization, which pillaged and even  
436 exterminated peoples unable to defend themselves from more powerful invading nations” (6, p.  
437 7). In recent years, The United Methodist Church has been brought to a new awareness that its  
438 own history is not free of involvements in events of this sort, much as we may prefer to recall  
439 happier stories.<sup>23</sup> To edit out those parts of an account of our past (and present) that do not  
440 reflect so well on us is to deceive ourselves as well as others, and leaves us ill-equipped for the  
441 careful discernment that our calling requires. In this discernment, the vision of the gift of  
442 *koinonia* which is God's will for the church in all times and places is a vital point of reference.

443  
444

---

<sup>23</sup> Resolution 3323, “Healing Relationships with Indigenous Persons,” and a number of acts of remembrance and repentance which have been undertaken in connection with it, are hopeful signs of this new awareness. See *Book of Resolutions 2012*, pp. 419-420.

Community of Salvation and Community as Sign

445  
446  
447       *The saving love of God is meant for all people.* The Bible does not set forth one  
448 normative model or understanding of the church. There is no blueprint in the New Testament to  
449 be followed. However, Scripture does offer abundant resources for our thinking about the ways  
450 God works to establish or restore communion with and among humankind. Some of these  
451 scriptural images and concepts have had influential roles in the history of Christian thought and  
452 practice, though the weight given to particular leading images has varied from one time and  
453 place to another. Others have received relatively little attention. Three of the more prominent  
454 ones—“people of God,” “body of Christ,” “temple of the Holy Spirit”—have been frequently  
455 cited and explored in contemporary ecumenical discussion, partly because of the ways their  
456 differences provoke our thinking. Together, they help to make the point that *koinonia* is the gift  
457 of the Triune God, and also that our realization of and response to that gift may take different  
458 forms. We have a standing invitation to explore the richness and variety of images, metaphors,  
459 and ideas that the biblical writers used to portray the character of the new community God is  
460 creating.

461       One more prosaic term that, in company with such images as the three just mentioned,  
462 may offer a promising approach to the range of meanings of “church” and the many forms it can  
463 take is the one most frequently used in the New Testament to designate the Christian community:  
464 *ekklesia*. Usually rendered as “church” in English translations of the New Testament,<sup>24</sup> it is  
465 “community” (*Gemeinde*) in Luther’s German New Testament, while in Latin-derived languages

---

<sup>24</sup> “Church” actually comes from another Greek word, *kyriake*, “belonging to the Lord,” which was never used in the New Testament to refer to the Christian community. This usage arose later, and eventually made its way into English. Some early English translations, notably that of William Tyndale, rendered *ekklesia* as “congregation” rather than as “church”—an option that the royal instructions to the translators of the King James Version explicitly ruled out.

466 it retains something of the Latin transliteration, *ecclesia*, as in the French *église*, Spanish *iglesia*,  
467 or Italian *chiesa*. In New Testament times and for some centuries before, *ekklesia* was a  
468 common Greek term for an assembly or gathering, such as the meeting of voting citizens in a  
469 Greek city-state. It is also the word normally used in the Greek translation of the Hebrew  
470 scriptures, the Septuagint, to translate the Hebrew term *qahal*, likewise a generic term for  
471 assembly or gathering—a religious meeting, for instance, or an armed array ready for battle. One  
472 of the more durable uses of *qahal/ekklesia*, in early Christian as well as Jewish memory, was in  
473 connection with the assembly of the people at Sinai at the giving of the Torah (“the day of the  
474 assembly,” Deuteronomy 18:16) and with the anticipation of an ultimate joyous and redemptive  
475 gathering of all the people of God, as described for instance in Isaiah 25:6-9. *Ekklesia*, then, in  
476 the mind of a writer such as Paul, had a useful range. It could refer to a particular local  
477 community of Christians, or collectively to the sum of such local communities, or to the whole  
478 people of God in all times and places (the “Church universal,” as it is sometimes called).

479 Both “assembly” and “gathering,” along with “convocation,” “congregation,” and some  
480 other terms that have been employed at different times to render *ekklesia*, have some interesting  
481 flexibility: they can refer to an action or process (coming together, being brought together), or to  
482 the group that is formed, or to the members of that group whether or not they happen to be  
483 assembled at the moment. Still, Luther—anticipating a number of present-day interpreters—  
484 probably had it right: the best contemporary equivalent for *ekklesia* in a Christian context may  
485 well be “community.” This is particularly convincing if we keep in mind the close connections



486 between the theme of gathering (*ekklesia*) and the theme of communion (*koinonia*).<sup>25</sup> Among  
487 human beings, communion takes the form of community.

488 *Ekklesia* has an additional connotation for the particular strands of Protestant tradition  
489 which have shaped United Methodism. Among the standards of doctrine of The United  
490 Methodist Church are the Articles of Religion brought into the union by The Methodist Church  
491 and the Confession of Faith brought into it by the Evangelical United Brethren Church. Each  
492 contains an article on the Church, along with other material relevant to the subject. The two  
493 principal articles are these:

494 First, from the Articles of Religion, Article XIII—Of the Church:

495 The visible church of Christ is a congregation of faithful men in which the pure  
496 Word of God is preached, and the Sacraments duly administered according to  
497 Christ's ordinance, in all those things that of necessity are requisite to the same.

498 And from the Confession of Faith, Article V—The Church:

499

500 We believe the Christian Church is the community of all true believers under the  
501 Lordship of Christ. We believe it is one, holy, apostolic and catholic. It is the  
502 redemptive fellowship in which the Word of God is preached by men divinely  
503 called, and the sacraments are duly administered according to Christ's own  
504 appointment. Under the discipline of the Holy Spirit the Church exists for the

---

<sup>25</sup> Helpful reflections on the usages of *ekklesia* may be found in Paula Gooder, "In Search of the Early 'Church': The New Testament and the Development of Christian Communities," *Routledge Companion to the Christian Church*, edited by Gerard Mannion and Lewis S. Mudge (New York and London: Routledge, 2008), pp. 9-27, and in Gordon W. Lathrop, *Holy People: A Liturgical Ecclesiology* (Minneapolis: Fortress Press, 1999), pp. 21-48.

505 maintenance of worship, the edification of believers and the redemption of the  
506 world.

507 The first definition, from the Methodist Articles, is essentially a reproduction of the  
508 corresponding article (XIX) in the Thirty-nine Articles of the Church of England (1563), based  
509 in turn upon Article VII of the Lutheran Augsburg Confession (1530). It identifies the church  
510 (*ecclesia*, in the Latin version of the Anglican and Lutheran texts) as a “congregation of faithful  
511 men” (“and women,” we might add to be true to the sense today, or we might render *coetus*  
512 *fidelium* more literally as “congregation of the faithful”), assembled by and for Word and  
513 Sacrament. Although some classical Protestant doctrines of the church derive from this basic  
514 affirmation the conclusion that there are two essential “marks” of the church—authentic  
515 proclamation of the Word, and proper administration of the Sacraments—others identify three  
516 such marks: in addition to Word and Sacrament, there is the mark of faithfulness itself, or  
517 discipleship, or discipline, or of a common life ordered by the promises of God. It is this latter  
518 scheme that, from the Protestant side, enters into our ecumenical understandings of the triadic or  
519 triune shape of the church’s life and mission.

520 The second definition, reflecting the Evangelical United Brethren heritage, contains basic  
521 elements of the first, but enriches it in several ways. (As with the “faithful men” of the first  
522 definition, we would today want to say that the Word is preached “by women and men divinely  
523 called” or “by persons divinely called.” The latter phrase is used when an abridgement of this  
524 article is incorporated into the definition of the local church in *Book of Discipline 2012*, ¶201). It  
525 makes more explicit the element of faithful response—the third “mark”—with such terms as  
526 “redemptive fellowship” and with reference to the church’s mission, and it also includes the  
527 adjectives from the Nicene Creed identifying the church as “one, holy, apostolic and catholic.”

528           A noteworthy feature of the first-quoted article—and, by implication, of the second,  
529 which builds upon it—is that it offers a definition of the *visible* church. A distinction between the  
530 “visible church” and the “invisible church” was common at the time of the Protestant  
531 Reformation, with roots going back much farther. As conventionally understood, the visible  
532 church was an actual community, a local congregation of professing Christians or a larger body  
533 incorporating many local congregations, who hear and affirm the Word rightly preached, partake  
534 of the sacraments, and support the church’s ministry. The invisible church was understood to be  
535 the totality of persons who are actually saved, or on their way to salvation. This company is  
536 “invisible” in the sense that no one but God knows with certainty who is included in it. It was  
537 commonly assumed (and often asserted by theologians and preachers) that with a few exceptions  
538 the members of the invisible church, the truly saved, were also professing Christians, members  
539 of the visible church; but that the visible church also contains (to use John Calvin’s words) “a  
540 very large mixture of hypocrites, who have nothing of Christ but the name and outward  
541 appearance.”<sup>26</sup>

542           The perspective of many Christians and of many Christian communities on this matter  
543 has shifted in more recent years. *Towards a Common Vision* (25, p. 15) represents widespread,  
544 though not unanimous, convergence here among the churches involved in the ecumenical  
545 movement:

546           Since God wills all people to be saved and to come to the knowledge of the truth  
547           (cf. 1 Tim. 2:4), Christians acknowledge that God reaches out to those who are

---

<sup>26</sup> John Calvin, *Institutes of the Christian Religion*, translated by Henry Beveridge (London: James Clarke, 1962), volume 2, p. 288 (IV, 1, 7).

548 not explicit members of the Church, in ways that may not be immediately evident  
549 to human eyes. While respecting the elements of truth and goodness that can be  
550 found in other religions and among those with no religion, the mission of the  
551 Church remains that of inviting, through witness and testimony, all men and  
552 women to come to know and love Christ Jesus.

553 What such a statement allows is the possibility that persons who are not “explicit  
554 members” of the church may yet be, in some sense, members of the church, participants in the  
555 one *ekklesia* of God, sharers in the communion God offers. Note that the statement does not  
556 suggest that all persons are, in fact, responding to the love of God in such a way, nor does it  
557 imply that those who do so respond are therefore “really Christians” without knowing it. It does,  
558 however, imply that God’s *koinonia* may be encountered in other forms and other places. If God  
559 is reaching out to those beyond our Christian communities in ways hidden to us, and if they are  
560 responding to God’s love in positive ways, then perhaps we need a more expansive concept of  
561 “church” than we have been accustomed to using. (As Irenaeus said long ago, “where the Spirit  
562 of God is, there is the church and all grace.”) The church, in the sense of the one *ekklesia* of God,  
563 the community of salvation, is not coextensive with the churches that we know. Those churches  
564 that we know participate in that larger *ekklesia* (however imperfectly), but their distinctive task is  
565 to be the explicit sign and servant of God’s salvific self-giving to humankind—to be, as some  
566 traditions would find it natural to say, a sacrament—through their worship of God, their care and  
567 nurture of those who come to faith through their witness, and their service to God’s reconciling  
568 and redemptive purpose.

569           The churches carry on this work entrusted to them more or less well. In the apt words of  
570 the Westminster Confession, the church “hath been sometimes more, sometimes less visible” in  
571 those communities that call themselves churches.<sup>27</sup>

572           John Wesley lamented the fact that many professing Christians of his day seemed at best  
573 to have “the form of godliness, but not the power thereof” (cf. 2 Tim 3:5), not because God had  
574 decreed their exclusion from salvation, but because they were refusing to use the grace they were  
575 given by the God who “wants all people to be saved” (I Tim 2:4). At the same time, Wesley was  
576 unwilling to believe that the multitudes of people who were *not* professing Christians—for  
577 example, the large numbers of the poor in England who were alienated from the church and felt  
578 excluded by it, or the millions around the world who had never heard the Gospel—were utterly  
579 deprived of God’s grace on that account, for reasons beyond their control. On the contrary, he  
580 was convinced that Christ died for all, that the guilt of “inbeing sin” that may have been incurred  
581 through the fall of our first parents had been cancelled for all, and that grace was available to  
582 all.<sup>28</sup> A lesson we might learn from Wesley is that we need, on the one hand, to exercise a

---

<sup>27</sup> Westminster Confession of Faith, 25.4.

<sup>28</sup> “I have no authority from the Word of God ‘to judge those that are without’ [the Christian dispensation]. No do I conceive that any man living has a right to sentence all the heathen and Mahometan world to damnation. It is far better to leave them to him that made them, and who is ‘the Father of the spirits of all flesh’; who is the God of the heathens as well as the Christians, and who hateth nothing that he hath made. . . . [I]f the heart of a man be filled (by the grace of God, and the power of his Spirit) with the humble, gentle, patient love of God and man, God will not cast him into the everlasting fire prepared for the devil and his angels because his ideas are not clear, or because his conceptions are confused.” “On living without God,” *Sermons IV*, edited by Albert C. Outler, *The Works of John Wesley*, volume 2 (Nashville: Abingdon Press, 1987), pp. 174-175. In his comment on Acts 10:34-35—“Then Peter began to speak to them: ‘I truly understand that God shows no partiality. But in every nation anyone who fears him and does what is right is acceptable to him’”—in his *Notes on the New Testament*, Wesley wrote: “But in every nation he that feareth God and worketh righteousness - He that, first, reverences God, as great, wise, good, the cause, end, and governor of all things; and secondly, from this awful regard to him, not only avoids all known evil, but endeavours, according to the best light he has,

583 realistically self-critical capacity when it comes to the quality of our own life and witness as  
584 Christians and Christian communities, to be alert to the dangers of self-deception and aware of  
585 our own permanent need for repentance and renewal; and, on the other hand, to be open to the  
586 presence of God in our neighbors, including our non-Christian neighbors, and open to the love of  
587 God that may come to us through them. Such a stance is, in fact, reflected in United Methodist  
588 teaching concerning our relations to those of other religious traditions.<sup>29</sup>

589         Speaking of the Christian church as a whole—in ecumenical writings, this is normally  
590 “the Church” with a capital “C”—*Towards a Common Vision* offers a helpful brief account (in  
591 22, pp. 13-14) of ecumenical convergence on how the four Nicene “marks” cited in our  
592 Confession of Faith may be understood. “The Church is one because God is one (cf. John 17:11,  
593 1 Tim. 2:5). . . . The Church is holy because God is holy (cf. Is. 6:3; Lev. 11:44-45).” The  
594 Church is catholic because God intends it for all people, the whole world. The Church is  
595 apostolic because of its origins in witnesses sent (an apostle is “one who is sent”) by the Triune  
596 God and its call “to be ever faithful to those apostolic origins.” In each case, the text notes that  
597 our actual performance falls short: again, the divine reality of the Church is “sometimes more,  
598 sometimes less visible” in its human reality.

599         “Legitimate diversity in the life of communion is a gift from the Lord” (28, p. 16). No  
600 reference to “the Church” in the singular should be taken to imply that differences have no place

---

to do all things well; is accepted of him - Through Christ, though he knows him not. The  
assertion is express, and admits of no exception. He is in the favour of God, whether enjoying his  
written word and ordinances or not. Nevertheless the addition of these is an unspeakable blessing  
to those who were before in some measure accepted. Otherwise God would never have sent an  
angel from heaven to direct Cornelius to St. Peter.”

<sup>29</sup> Cf. “Called to be Neighbors and Witnesses: Guidelines for Interreligious Relationships,” *Book of Resolutions 2012*, pp. 269-279.

601 in the Christian community. The fact that the Triune God is the source of our communion should  
602 be enough to remind us that it is a dynamic, relational unity, not a monolithic uniformity, that is  
603 to be sought. The gifts of the Spirit differ in character (1 Cor. 12:4-7) and are exercised in  
604 different ways for the common good. Also, human beings and their cultures differ from one  
605 another in manifold ways, and these differences enrich our *koinonia*. Particular actual  
606 churches—local congregations, historical Christian traditions and their various strands and  
607 organizational groupings—have their own ways of being church. They are free to differ, and to  
608 some extent they must differ, in order to relate to the situations in which they find themselves  
609 and in order to realize their particular gifts. “Legitimate diversity is compromised whenever  
610 Christians consider their own cultural expressions of the Gospel as the only authentic ones, to be  
611 imposed upon Christians of other cultures” (28, p. 16).

612         How legitimate diversity may be distinguished from illegitimate diversity is a question  
613 still seeking a clear answer in an ecumenical context, as *Towards a Common Vision*  
614 acknowledges (30, pp. 16-17). An abstract principle may be agreed upon, such as that  
615 illegitimate diversity is that which undermines the unity of the church; but a formula of this sort  
616 is readily susceptible to misuse. In a comment on the issue, the text ponders what may be  
617 needed:

618         Though all churches have their own procedures for distinguishing legitimate from  
619 illegitimate diversity, it is clear that two things are lacking: (a) common criteria,  
620 or means of discernment, and (b) such mutually recognized structures as are  
621 needed to use these effectively. All churches seek to follow the will of the Lord  
622 yet they continue to disagree on some aspects of faith and order and, moreover, on  
623 whether such disagreements are Church-divisive or, instead, part of legitimate

624 diversity. We invite the churches to consider: what positive steps can be taken to  
625 make common discernment possible?

626 As the text implicitly acknowledges later on (63, p. 35), its statement here that “all churches have  
627 their own procedures for distinguishing legitimate from illegitimate diversity” may not be  
628 entirely accurate. There would seem to be divided judgments *within* a number of the churches at  
629 present on this very point—that is, as to whether or not a particular difference in doctrine or  
630 practice constitutes legitimate diversity—and no workable means of resolving the question. In  
631 such a situation, the same things may be needed that the text finds lacking in the ecumenical  
632 context: “(a) common criteria, or means of discernment, and (b) such mutually recognized  
633 structures as are needed to use these effectively.” A church that finds itself in these  
634 circumstances may need to ask itself the same question this text poses to the churches together:  
635 What positive steps can be taken to make common discernment possible? In tackling that  
636 question, each church may be helped by entering into the ecumenical conversation on this  
637 subject, becoming acquainted with the approaches other churches have taken to discerning the  
638 limits of diversity, learning from their experience, and re-examining its own approach in that  
639 light. We will return to this question at a later point.

640  
641  
642  
643  
644

### Faith, Hope, and Love

644 *The saving love of God is transformative.* The character and direction of that  
645 transformation is well summarized in the familiar Pauline triad, “faith, hope, and love” (1  
646 Corinthians 13:13). John Wesley and our Methodist traditions would certainly echo Paul’s  
647 affirmation that “the greatest of these is love.” But neither Wesley nor we would want to neglect  
648 the other two elements of the triad. All three are vital, and intimately interrelated. There is a



649 triadic—or, better put, a Trinitarian—character to the life that God gives us in community, and  
650 for that reason there is a triadic or Trinitarian character to the way the church manifests God’s  
651 love in the world.

652         It is no surprise, then, that throughout the chapters of *Towards a Common Vision* there  
653 occur triadic descriptions of what the church is called to be and do. For example, in a brief  
654 exposition of the Great Commission in Matthew 28:18-20 and of corresponding passages  
655 elsewhere in the Gospels, the text states that in order to carry out Jesus’ mandate, the church was  
656 to be “a community of witness, . . . a community of worship, . . . [and] a community of  
657 discipleship” (2, p. 6). Throughout its history, it goes on to observe, the church has been  
658 engaged in “proclaiming in word and deed the good news of salvation in Christ, celebrating the  
659 sacraments, especially the eucharist, and forming Christian communities” (5, p. 7). Again,  
660 “[t]he Holy Spirit nourishes and enlivens the body of Christ through the living voice of the  
661 preached Gospel, through sacramental communion, especially in the Eucharist, and through  
662 ministries of service” (16, p. 11). Quoting from an earlier ecumenical study, it affirms that the  
663 church “reveals Christ to the world by proclaiming the Gospel, by celebrating the sacraments, . .  
664 . and by manifesting the newness of life given by him, thus anticipating the Kingdom already  
665 present in him” (58, p. 33). And the Conclusion of the text (67, p. 39) declares:

666         The unity of the body of Christ consists in the gift of *koinonia* or communion that  
667 God graciously bestows upon human beings. There is a growing consensus that  
668 *koinonia*, as communion with the Holy Trinity, is manifested in three interrelated  
669 ways: unity in faith, unity in sacramental life, and unity in service (in all its forms,  
670 including ministry and mission).

671           In its exploration of the image of the church as the people of God, the text relates this  
 672 triadic structure in the life and mission of the church explicitly to the classic doctrine of the  
 673 “threefold office” of Christ as prophet, priest, and king: “The whole people of God is called to  
 674 be a prophetic people, bearing witness to God’s word; a priestly people, offering the sacrifice of  
 675 a life lived in discipleship; and a royal people, serving as instruments for the establishment of  
 676 God’s reign.” For emphasis, it adds: “All members of the church share in this vocation” (19, p.  
 677 12).

678           This would seem to be an important point of ecumenical convergence. There is a parallel  
 679 in the teaching of the Second Vatican Council in its *Dogmatic Constitution on the Church* which  
 680 describes the laity as “all the faithful . . . who by baptism are incorporated into Christ, are  
 681 constituted the people of God, who have been made sharers in their own way in the priestly,  
 682 prophetic, and kingly office of Christ and play their part in carrying out the mission of the whole  
 683 Christian people in the church and in the world.”<sup>30</sup> A similar approach is taken in Orthodox  
 684 ecclesiology, and can be found in a growing number of ecumenical documents. For example, the  
 685 International Commission on Methodist-Catholic Dialogue stated in its Brighton report (2001):  
 686 “Because Christ’s followers are incorporated into him through baptism, they share in his priestly,  
 687 prophetic and royal office, together as a communion and individually each in their own way.”<sup>31</sup>

688           From a United Methodist standpoint, these connections could be carried further,  
 689 enriching our understanding of the nature and calling of the church as *koinonia*. John Wesley

---

<sup>30</sup> In *Vatican Council II: The Basic Sixteen Documents*, edited by Austin Flannery, O.P. (Northport, New York: Costello Publishing Co., 1996), pp. 48-49.

<sup>31</sup> “Speaking the Truth in Love,” §§35-36, cited in *Synthesis: Together to Holiness, Forty Years of Methodist and Roman Catholic Dialogue*, ed. Michael E. Putney and Geoffrey Wainwright (n.p., [2010], §73.

690 urged the early Methodists to proclaim Christ “in all his offices.”<sup>32</sup> The reference was to the  
691 doctrine of the three offices (or threefold office, *munus triplex*) of Christ, as priest, prophet, and  
692 king. In the Hebrew scriptures, the role or work of the Messiah (the Christ, the anointed one) is  
693 pictured in a variety of ways, with these three commonly judged to be the most prominent.  
694 Found in early Christian writings, the idea that Jesus fulfills these three roles together comes into  
695 our United Methodist heritage more directly both from Wesley (with Anglican theology and  
696 John Calvin in the background) and from the Heidelberg Catechism (1563) which was an  
697 important part of the doctrinal heritage of the Evangelical United Brethren.

698         The threefold office seemed to have particular resonance for Wesley, as it matched up  
699 with his understanding of salvation—of what we are saved *from* and of what we are saved *to*. If  
700 we are meant “to know, to love, and to enjoy [our] Creator to all eternity,”<sup>33</sup> and if in our present  
701 problematic state—a state of misery, as Wesley says—we are unable rightly to exercise those

---

<sup>32</sup> Wesley declares, “We are not ourselves clear before God, unless we proclaim him in all his offices. To preach Christ, as a workman that needeth not to be ashamed, is to preach him, not only as our great High Priest, ‘taken from among men, and ordained for men, in things pertaining to God;’ as such, ‘reconciling us to God by his blood,’ and ‘ever living to make intercession for us;’ — but likewise as the Prophet of the Lord, ‘who of God is made unto us wisdom,’ who, by his word and his Spirit, is with us always, ‘guiding us into all truth;’ — yea, and as remaining a King for ever; as giving laws to all whom he has bought with his blood; as restoring those to the image of God, whom he had first re-instated in his favour; as reigning in all believing hearts until he has ‘subdued all things to himself,’ — until he hath utterly cast out all sin, and brought in everlasting righteousness” (“The Law Established Through Faith, Discourse II,” *Sermons II*, edited by Albert C. Outler, *The Works of John Wesley*, volume 2 (Nashville: Abingdon Press, 1985), pp. 37-38). See further from the “Large Minutes” of 1745: “Q. 19. What is the best general method of preaching? A. To invite, to convince, to offer Christ, to build up; and to do this in some measure in every sermon. The most effectual way of preaching Christ is to preach him in all his offices; and to declare his law as well as his Gospel, both to believers and unbelievers.” A further short exposition of the three offices (and our need of them) is to be found in Wesley’s note on Matthew 1:16 in his *Explanatory Notes upon the New Testament*.

<sup>33</sup>John Wesley, “God’s Approbation of His Works,” *Sermons II*, edited by Albert C. Outler, *The Works of John Wesley*, volume 2 (Nashville: Abingdon Press, 1985), p. 397.

702 capacities for knowledge, love, and happiness, then what we need is nothing less than a  
703 regeneration of those capacities. We need to be set free from our bondage to ignorance,  
704 lovelessness, and hopelessness (or from our captivity to lies and distortions, from misguided  
705 loves and misplaced hopes). We need to be born again, and nourished in a new life in “the  
706 glorious liberty of the children of God” (Romans 8:21 KJV). This is the possibility that Christ  
707 brings to us, and that the Holy Spirit actuates in us. Wesley wanted his preachers and his people  
708 to keep that comprehensive vision in mind, and not to settle for reductionist, “one-office”  
709 accounts of salvation. The realization of the *koinonia* for which we are created, and of which the  
710 church is to be both sign and servant, involves being freed from those conditions (both external  
711 and internal) that make us miserable, and entering into the harmony of knowledge, love, and joy  
712 with the Triune God and with all creation.

713         *Towards a Common Vision* testifies to a convergence among the churches on the point  
714 that to proclaim Christ in all his offices is not just the work of preachers. It is the work of the  
715 whole church, the calling of the whole people of God, personally and corporately; it is the  
716 general ministry of all Christians. For their part, United Methodists have acknowledged this fact  
717 and its implications in a number of ways—for example, in affirming that the critical and  
718 constructive theological reflection that this work requires is likewise a task and responsibility of  
719 the whole church, to be undertaken both individually and communally: “As United Methodists,  
720 we have an obligation to bear a faithful Christian witness to Jesus Christ, the living reality at the  
721 center of the Church’s life and witness. To fulfill this obligation, we reflect critically on our

722 biblical and theological inheritance, striving to express faithfully the witness we make in our  
723 own time.”<sup>34</sup>

724         Although it informs and shapes the life and mission of the whole people of God—or,  
725 perhaps, *because* it does so—this threefold pattern also informs and shapes the ordained  
726 ministry. “[F]rom earliest times,” *Towards a Common Vision* observes, “some believers were  
727 chosen under the guidance of the Spirit and given specific authority and responsibility. Ordained  
728 ministers ‘assemble and build up the body of Christ by proclaiming and teaching the Word of  
729 God, by celebrating the sacraments and by guiding the life of the community in its worship, its  
730 mission and its caring ministry’” (19, p. 12).<sup>35</sup>

731         Accordingly, The United Methodist Church at its uniting conference in 1968 adopted an  
732 account of the ordained ministry which describes it as a “specialized ministry of Word,  
733 Sacrament, and Order.”<sup>36</sup> This new formulation, which does not appear in the official depictions  
734 of ordained ministry in either of the predecessor denominations, reflected the influence of  
735 contemporary ecumenical conversation as well as the established patterns of a number of other  
736 Christian communities. The account set down in the 1968 *Book of Discipline* corresponds closely  
737 to that just quoted from *Towards a Common Vision*:

738         **Ordination** is the rite of the Church by which some are entrusted with the  
739 authority to be ministers of Word, Sacrament, and Order:

---

<sup>34</sup> “Doctrinal Standards and Our Theological Task,” *The Book of Discipline of The United Methodist Church 2012* (Nashville: The United Methodist Publishing House, 2012), pp. 79-80 (¶105).

<sup>35</sup> The internal quotation is from *Baptism, Eucharist and Ministry*, section on Ministry, § 13.

<sup>36</sup> *Book of Discipline 1968*, ¶302 (p. 107).

740                   1. To be ordained to the ministry of the Word is to be authorized to preach  
741                   and teach the Word of God.

742                   2. To be ordained to the ministry of Sacrament is to be authorized to  
743                   administer the Sacraments of Baptism and the Lord's Supper.

744                   3. To be ordained to the ministry of Order is to be authorized to equip the  
745                   laity for ministry, to exercise pastoral oversight, and to administer the Discipline  
746                   of the Church.<sup>37</sup>

747                   It should be said that this commonly-recognized triadic pattern in the church's ministry is  
748                   something distinct from the "threefold ministry" of ordained deacons, presbyters, and bishops in  
749                   historic succession that is claimed by some Christian communities, and that *BEM* proposed to the  
750                   serious consideration of all the churches in their quest for visible unity. The churches' responses  
751                   to *BEM* indicated that we are far from any convergence on this point, and that it may be unwise  
752                   to link the mutual recognition of ministries to any agreement on this or any other particular  
753                   arrangement of ministerial offices or system of governance. The approach to the issue in  
754                   *Towards a Common Vision* reflects this situation. In *BEM*, the "burden of proof" seemed to be  
755                   placed on the churches that do not follow the threefold-ministry pattern: they "need to ask  
756                   themselves whether the threefold pattern as developed does not have a powerful claim to be  
757                   accepted by them."<sup>38</sup> In light of responses received to this challenge, in *Towards a Common*  
758                   *Vision* the question is posed more equitably. "[W]e are led to ask if the churches can achieve a

---

<sup>37</sup> *Book of Discipline* 1968, ¶309 (pp. 109-10).

<sup>38</sup> *BEM*, Ministry section, §25 (p. 25).

759 consensus as to whether or not the threefold ministry is part of God’s will for the church in its  
760 realization of the unity which God wills” (47, p. 27).

761         This is a complex issue, and one that deserves fuller treatment in another context. It  
762 continues to be seriously pursued in a variety of ecumenical dialogues and relationships in which  
763 United Methodists and other members of the Methodist and Wesleyan traditions are involved.  
764 There is strong agreement among the churches on other key points concerning authority and  
765 leadership in the church, for example, that virtually all churches include in their structure some  
766 provision for a ministry of general oversight (*episcopé*, literally “oversight” or “supervision”),  
767 and that all ministerial leadership in the church is to be exercised “in a personal, collegial, and  
768 communal way.”<sup>39</sup> Further exploration of the character of leadership in the church may lead to  
769 new understandings of its form, not presently envisioned.

770         In The United Methodist Church, although we have deacons, elders (presbyters), and  
771 bishops, we do not have a “threefold ministry” in the sense in which that term is used in other  
772 traditions or in the ecumenical discussion. We ordain deacons and elders; we do not ordain  
773 bishops, who are elected from among the elders to exercise a special supervisory role.<sup>40</sup> Further,  
774 we do not at present practice “sequential ordination,” in which a person to be ordained as an  
775 elder must first be ordained as a deacon. In the early years of The United Methodist Church, as  
776 in The Methodist Church prior to the union, sequential ordination was the practice: the ordained  
777 diaconate was conceived as a step toward ordination as elder, roughly coinciding with one’s  
778 probationary membership in an annual conference. An elder was given “full authority for the

---

<sup>39</sup> *BEM*, section on Ministry, §26 (pp. 25-26). The language is echoed in *Towards a Common Vision*, 52 (p. 29).

<sup>40</sup> *Book of Discipline 2012*, ¶402 (p. 315).

779 ministry of Word, Sacrament, and Order,”<sup>41</sup> and there was no separate parallel formulation for  
780 the ministry of the deacon, which was seen essentially as a involving a limited authority to  
781 participate in the same activities.

782         The idea of a “permanent diaconate,” that is, of deacons who would be ordained to that  
783 office not as a stage on the way to ordination as elders but rather in order to exercise a distinctive  
784 regular ministry as deacons, was gaining traction in a number of churches already at the time The  
785 United Methodist Church was formed. (“Permanent deacon” and “transitional deacon,” though  
786 common terms in this discussion, are technically misnomers, since in a pattern of sequential  
787 ordination elders do not cease being deacons.) A permanent diaconate, open to married as well  
788 as single men (but, like the priesthood, open only to men) was authorized by the Second Vatican  
789 Council and introduced in different parts of the Roman Catholic Church in the following decade.  
790 The Anglican Communion and several other church bodies established a permanent or  
791 “vocational” diaconate around the same time. After a number of experiments over the years  
792 (including the unordained office of Diaconal Minister), The United Methodist Church  
793 established a permanent ordained diaconate in 1996, and at the same time abolished the practice  
794 of sequential ordination. In our current polity, prospective deacons and prospective elders are on  
795 separate “tracks,” and the language indicating the character of the ministry to which each is  
796 ordained—in the case of a deacon, a ministry of “Word, Service, Justice, and Compassion,” and  
797 in the case of an elder, a ministry of “Word, Sacrament, Order, and Service”—is intended to

---

<sup>41</sup> *Book of Discipline 1968*, ¶313 (p. 110).



798 indicate that although there may be common areas of responsibility there are also distinct areas  
799 in each that the other does not share.<sup>42</sup>

800           Because this structure for the ordering of ministry is relatively new—as is the  
801 accompanying innovation establishing an “Order” of Deacons and an “Order” of Elders as  
802 collegial bodies composed of all those ordained to those respective offices—how these  
803 arrangements will fare in the long run remains to be seen. The picture is complicated by the fact  
804 that United Methodism also features a number of recognized ministerial offices and roles that do  
805 not require ordination, some of which involve the principal activities normally associated with  
806 the ordained offices—a situation that gives rise to much perplexity both within and beyond the  
807 church.<sup>43</sup> Further reflection upon the ecumenical discussion, and continued consultation with a  
808 wide range of our ecumenical partners, will be vital to any responsible progress on these  
809 seemingly perennial issues. We have significant insight and testimony from our own experience  
810 to offer in the ecumenical forum, such as that coming from our readiness to adapt to new  
811 situations and our firm and irrevocable commitment to the full participation of women in  
812 ministerial leadership in all its forms. But there can be no doubt that we also have things to learn  
813 from the experience of others. We may find, among other things, that a reaffirmation and  
814 exploration of the triadic pattern of “Word, Sacrament, and Order” in the development of a fuller  
815 constructive theology of ministry would have advantages both ecumenically and in the life of our  
816 own community.

---

<sup>42</sup> *Book of Discipline* 2012, ¶¶329.1 (p. 332). How effectively the wording indicates such a distinction is open to question.

<sup>43</sup> On this whole subject, see *Book of Discipline* 2012, ¶¶266-370, John E. Harnish, *The Orders of Ministry in The United Methodist Church* (Nashville: Abingdon Press, 2000), and Thomas Edward Frank, *Polity, Practice, and the Mission of The United Methodist Church* (Nashville: Abingdon Press, 2006), chapter 7.

817

818

### III. Vision and Practice

819

820 In this concluding section, we are taking under more direct consideration three questions

821 that were raised in our opening pages and have been accompanying us at least in the background

822 all along.

823 First, how might we characterize the particular role of The United Methodist Church

824 within the “Church Universal”? What is its niche in the ecclesial ecology? Second, what

825 insights might our participation in the ecumenical discussion generate to help us deal more

826 constructively and effectively with the vexing issues surrounding “legitimate diversity,” both as

827 they affect our own life and mission in The United Methodist Church and in our ongoing

828 relations with other Christian communities? Third, how might a renewed ecclesial vision inform

829 our deliberations about our polity—that is, about how we structure our common life in the

830 service of our mission?

831

832

#### United Methodism and the Church Universal

833 There are dangers in any attempt to place ourselves in relation to other churches, or to

834 describe our own distinctiveness. We may overestimate our distinctiveness, especially if we

835 regard the distinctive features as advantages or virtues. We may overestimate the extent to which

836 the distinctive characteristics we claim are actually to be found among us. The image we have of

837 ourselves may bear little resemblance to what others might tell us about ourselves. “To see

838 ourselves as others see us,” H. Richard Niebuhr remarked, “or to have others communicate to us

839 what they see when they regard our lives from the outside is to have a moral experience.”<sup>44</sup> At  
840 considerable risk, then, we will suggest three main elements, out of many that might be  
841 mentioned, that may be markers of United Methodist identity.<sup>45</sup> They are, at the least,  
842 aspirational features: things that—judging from the importance we assign to them in principle—  
843 we would like to be known by. They are marks that we profess to value. Although all three are  
844 certainly rooted in our common heritage with other Wesleyan and Methodist communities—that  
845 is, in those distinctive convictions of this heritage that were mentioned at the beginning of this  
846 paper— they represent the character of United Methodism as a particular ecclesial form and  
847 expression of that common heritage. Their prominence in United Methodist discourse makes  
848 them a good starting point for our reflection.

849         One of these features has to do with *the scope of grace*, in two senses. These senses  
850 correspond, in a way, with the first two of those three distinctive convictions of our heritage.  
851 One sense is our Wesleyan conviction—by no means exclusive to Wesleyans, but definitely  
852 claimed by this tradition—that God’s love extends to all of God’s creatures, and not just to some.  
853 The line from 1 Timothy 2:4 cited previously could be a United Methodist motto: The God  
854 revealed in Christ “desires everyone to be saved and to come to the knowledge of the truth.”  
855 God’s grace is available to all, in equal measure. Among other things, this accounts for the  
856 emphasis placed in The United Methodist Church upon full inclusivity in membership and

---

<sup>44</sup> H. Richard Niebuhr, *The Meaning of Revelation* (New York: Macmillan, 1960), p. 62.

<sup>45</sup> For a rich and thoughtful treatment of characteristic features of the Methodist traditions more generally, related in an imaginative way to the “four notes” of the Nicene Creed, see Russell E. Richey (with Dennis M. Campbell and William B. Lawrence), *Marks of Methodism: Theology in Ecclesial Practice* (Nashville: Abingdon Press, 2005).

857 ministry, so that the church might be a faithful sign of the scope of God’s grace. Needless to say,  
858 our practice has sometimes fallen short of our aspirations.

859         The second sense in which the scope of grace is a distinctive theme has to do not with its  
860 extent or reach, but with its aim or effect. It is the affirmation that as God’s grace is received in  
861 the freedom that it creates, it is transformative. It leads, as Wesley said, to a “real change”  
862 within the recipient. “If anyone is in Christ, there is a new creation” (2 Cor. 5:17). Being born  
863 anew, receiving faith “filled with the energy of love” (as Wesley would render Galatians 5:6),  
864 having “God’s love . . . poured into our hearts through the Holy Spirit” (Romans 5:5)—these  
865 were for the early Methodists, and have been for their spiritual descendants, vivid experiential  
866 realities, leading to new personal and social consequences as that love is absorbed in personal  
867 renewal and expressed not only in direct and explicit witness to the Gospel but also in  
868 community-building (*koinonia* activity, we might say) in a great variety of ways, from personal  
869 relationships to the founding of hospitals and universities, from the outreach ministries of local  
870 congregations to participation in large-scale efforts for social amelioration and reform. The  
871 impetus in the United Methodist heritage, as stated, for example, in many paragraphs of the  
872 Social Principles and in occasional resolutions of the General Conference, is to create and  
873 support institutions and practices that (in our admittedly limited judgment at any particular time)  
874 foster human well-being, and to challenge those that do not.

875         In one of John Wesley’s own short descriptions of the scope of God’s grace in this  
876 second sense, he wrote:

877         By salvation I mean, not barely (according to the vulgar notion) deliverance from  
878 hell, or going to heaven; but a present deliverance from sin, a restoration of the  
879 soul to its primitive health, its original purity; a recovery of the divine nature; the

880 renewal of our souls after the image of God in righteousness and true holiness, in  
881 justice, mercy, and truth. This implies all holy and heavenly tempers, and by  
882 consequence all holiness of conversation.<sup>46</sup>

883 United Methodism aims to embrace the entire range of this concern. At times the inward  
884 cleansing and renewal of the heart is emphasized, and at times it is the effort to work out what  
885 many have taken to be the broader implications of “holiness of conversation”—the promotion of  
886 “justice, mercy, and truth” throughout the social order—that receives more attention. Such  
887 differences of emphasis are appropriate when geared to the needs of the particular situations in  
888 which we find ourselves. But we are at our best when we realize the close relationship between  
889 the two, and at something less than our best when we play them off against each other.

890 A second marker of United Methodist identity—related to the third distinctive conviction  
891 of our heritage, dealing with the community-forming intent of the love of God—goes by the  
892 name of “*connectionalism*.” “Conciliarity” is a related (though not synonymous) term in the  
893 ecumenical discussion, and other aspects of the treatment of the topics of order and authority in  
894 *Towards a Common Vision* draw attention to things we United Methodists might associate with  
895 connectionalism. Our “itinerant” ministry, the superintendency (bishops and district  
896 superintendents), and the system of conferences are intended as instruments of connectionalism.  
897 All three are intended to foster an ethos and practice of mutual support and mutual  
898 accountability, of shared oversight (here, it is pertinent to note that one sense of *episcopé*

---

<sup>46</sup> John Wesley, *A Farther Appeal to Men of Reason and Religion, Part I* (1745), in *The Appeals to Men of Reason and Religion and Certain Related Open Letters*, edited by Gerald R. Cragg, *The Works of John Wesley*, volume 11 (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1976), p 106. “Conversation” in Wesley’s time and usage meant something like “social interaction,” one’s conduct toward and relationships with others.

899 mentioned in *Towards a Common Vision* is “coordination”), and of the strengthening of all by  
900 the gifts of all. It is always an open question how well our current structures and polity actually  
901 serve the connectional relationship and way of working that we seek, and each of the three  
902 elements just mentioned are currently under some scrutiny in that regard. The underlying  
903 principle, however, connects us with some of the deepest insights of ancient Christian tradition  
904 regarding the sustaining of communion in and among Christian communities.

905         The ongoing debates in our church about the proper shape and expression of our  
906 connectional structure and polity are often denounced as unseemly exercises in political  
907 maneuvering and power mongering. While too often on the mark, such criticism obscures a  
908 deeper struggle. If, as noted earlier, connectionalism and mission are inextricably linked, then at  
909 stake in these debates is nothing less than the vitality of our distinctive connectional form of  
910 church as an aspiring global body.

911         The third mark of United Methodist identity to be offered is closely related to the first  
912 two, and might be seen as an implication of them. It is a commitment to *theological reflection* as  
913 the task of the whole church. The presence in the *Book of Discipline* not only of doctrinal  
914 standards, but also of a statement on “our theological task,” indicates the importance of this  
915 commitment. Note that theological reflection does not *replace* standards of doctrine; we need  
916 and affirm both.

917         The theological task, though related to the Church’s doctrinal expressions,  
918 serves a different function. Our doctrinal affirmations assist us in the discernment  
919 of Christian truth in ever-changing contexts. Our theological task includes the

920 testing, renewal, elaboration, and application of our doctrinal perspective in  
921 carrying out our calling “to spread scriptural holiness over these lands.”<sup>47</sup>

922 By their very character and content, our doctrinal standards not only permit but require the sort  
923 of responsible, thoughtful critical engagement that “Our Theological Task” describes. Our  
924 theological work must be “both critical and constructive,” “both individual and communal,”  
925 “contextual and incarnational,” and “essentially practical.”<sup>48</sup> To have given such attention and  
926 affirmation to the church’s ongoing theological task is truly a hallmark of The United Methodist  
927 Church. It will stand us in good stead as we seek to embody our connectional covenant with  
928 theological creativity, flexibility, and dexterity in increasingly diverse contexts around the world.  
929 As with the first two features mentioned, it is an area in which our principled commitments serve  
930 both to judge and to guide our practice.

931

932

### Diversity and Conflict

933 These three features, taken together, and enriched by ecumenical wisdom, might point  
934 toward a way to address our current difficulties over conflict in the church.

935 It should be said that our problem is not conflict. Our problem is in the way we  
936 sometimes deal with conflict. We would do well to remember at the outset that conflict is a  
937 “given” in the church. It is to be expected. Disagreements creating conflict may arise over (to  
938 use the Wesleyan language) “what to teach, how to teach, and what to do.”<sup>49</sup> Embedded in and

---

<sup>47</sup> *Book of Discipline 2012*, ¶105 (p. 78).

<sup>48</sup> *Book of Discipline 2012*, ¶105 (pp. 79-80).

<sup>49</sup> This frequently-quoted formula stems from the agenda and minutes of the first Methodist conference in London in 1744: “After some time spent in prayer, the design of our meeting was

939 accompanying these disagreements may be other, sometimes hidden or unacknowledged,  
940 difficulties also leading to tensions: antagonisms stemming from the complex histories and  
941 relationships of the persons and groups involved, differences over political or cultural values,  
942 struggles over the possession and uses of power, and so forth. Different sources and varieties of  
943 conflict may be interrelated in any given instance. Given the variety of the human uses of the  
944 church, it sometimes happens that conflict over one issue is promoted or exploited by individuals  
945 or groups as a means of accomplishing some other aim, or in order to satisfy other needs.  
946 Conflict is as complex as it is common.<sup>50</sup>

947         A church without conflict is very likely to be a church that is failing to be the church.  
948 Recall that it is God who brings us to the church, or who brings the church to us, creating church  
949 in our midst by the power of the Holy Spirit. We are brought together in the first instance by  
950 grace, and not because we share the same views, customs, cultural practices, or even moral  
951 values. (Again, keeping in mind the human uses of the church, we might say that to the extent  
952 that we come together *because* we share the same views, values, social standing, and so forth, we  
953 may not be realizing the more radical gift of *koinonia* in the Spirit.) Through our encounters  
954 with others in Christian community, we may of course come to share a good deal, gradually.  
955 Minds may be changed—perhaps most productively when it is not a case of one party winning

---

proposed, namely to consider: (1) What to teach, (2) How to teach, and (3) What to do, how to regulate our doctrine, discipline, and practice.” (From Wesley’s first published version of the minutes, dated 1749, in *The Methodist Societies: The Minutes of Conference*, edited by Henry D. Rack, *The Works of John Wesley*, volume 10 [Nashville: Abingdon Press, 2011], p. 778.)

<sup>50</sup>A useful brief definition of conflict is this one offered by the Danish Centre for Conflict Resolution: “Conflicts are disagreements that lead to tension within, and between, people.” Bjarne Vestergaard, Erik Helvard and Aase Rieck Sørensen, *Conflict Resolution—Working with Conflicts* (Frederiksberg, Denmark: Danish Centre for Conflict Resolution, 2010), p. 1, available at [http://lnu.se/polopoly\\_fs/1.105781!2011%20DCCR\\_BASIC%20MATERIAL.pdf](http://lnu.se/polopoly_fs/1.105781!2011%20DCCR_BASIC%20MATERIAL.pdf).



956 an argument over others, but rather of their being led through their experience together to a  
957 greater understanding than any of them previously possessed. We may discover or come to  
958 agreement on a number of things. But overcoming or erasing differences is not necessarily the  
959 best outcome. Some differences are part of the good diversity of creation, the diversity that is “a  
960 gift from the Lord” and should be honored as such.

961         Furthermore, some differences within the church aid the church in its mission to a diverse  
962 world. At present, the churches are faced with situations they have never faced before. New  
963 technologies give rise to previously unimagined possibilities; new knowledge changes our  
964 understanding of ourselves and of the world in which we live. When the church is confronted  
965 with a new situation and is pondering its best response, it is well to have a wide range of  
966 experience and perspectives at hand. To understand and respect one another’s differences and  
967 the ways in which they contribute to the church’s fulfillment of its mission is itself a mode of  
968 sharing, and something like the ecumenical pattern of “convergence,” in which differences are  
969 held in the midst of a deeper and richer unity, is a hoped-for experience also among members of  
970 a local congregation or other form of *ekklesia* as well.

971         In such cases, differences do not threaten the unity God intends, but instead enhance it.  
972 At the same time, some of our more serious conflict is generated by differing responses to these  
973 developments, as we are “striving to express faithfully the witness we make in our own time.”  
974 There are instances of conflict in which different people have incompatible or opposing  
975 judgments on some matter that they take to be vital to the church’s own identity and mission, and  
976 in which a resolution seems beyond our capability. When a conflict can be resolved through  
977 discussion or negotiation, through a process in which all involved are treated with respect, the

978 whole event can be a powerful witness to the gospel. As the church, we are not called to avoid  
979 conflict, nor to banish it, but rather to deal with it redemptively.

980           When a resolution does not seem possible, what are our options?

981           An earlier ecumenical statement, informing the understanding expressed in *Towards a*  
982 *Common Vision*, affirms: “The purpose of the church is to unite people with Christ in the power  
983 of the Spirit, to manifest communion in prayer and action and thus to point to the fullness of  
984 communion with God, humanity and the whole creation in the glory of the kingdom.”<sup>51</sup> Perhaps  
985 in this light we should not move too readily toward a democratic resolution of our deeper  
986 differences, at least as that is commonly understood.

987           One important consideration in this connection is that we may not yet be in a position to  
988 render a responsible judgment on the matter at hand. We may not know all that we need to  
989 know. We may not have adequate conceptual resources. We may not have the spiritual maturity  
990 to see what we need to see. We may not even have posed our questions rightly. We may, in  
991 short, need to gain some intellectual and emotional humility, and to cultivate some dispositions  
992 that would permit wisdom to grow.

993           Features of our United Methodist heritage might encourage us to ponder this possibility.  
994 In John Wesley’s sermon, “Catholic Spirit,” we find this sober acknowledgement:

995           It is an unavoidable consequence of the present weakness and shortness of human  
996 understanding that several men will be of several minds, in religion as well as in

---

<sup>51</sup> “The Unity of the Church as Koinonia, Gift and Calling,” Seventh Assembly of the World Council of Churches, Canberra, 1991, in *The Ecumenical Movement: An Anthology of Key Texts and Voices*, edited by Michael Kinnamon and Brian E. Cope (Grand Rapids, Michigan: Wm. B. Eerdmans, 1996), p. 124.

997 common life. So it has been from the beginning of the world, and so it will be ‘till  
998 the restitution of all things.’

999 Nay farther: although every man necessarily believes that every particular  
1000 opinion which he holds is true (for to believe any opinion is not true is the same  
1001 thing as not to hold it) yet can no man be assured that all his own opinions taken  
1002 together are true. Nay, every thinking man is assured they are not, seeing  
1003 *humanum est errare et nescire*—to be ignorant of many things, and to mistake in  
1004 some, is the necessary condition of humanity. This therefore, he is sensible, is his  
1005 own case. He knows in the general that he himself is mistaken; although in what  
1006 particulars he mistakes he does not, perhaps cannot, know.<sup>52</sup>

1007 We can be sure that we are mistaken in some of what we think we know. What contribution  
1008 might this awareness make to our approach to a situation of conflict?

1009 Another passage from John Wesley’s writings offers further insight on this score. It is in  
1010 the Preface to his “standard sermons”:

1011 9. Are you persuaded you see more clearly than me? It is not unlikely that you  
1012 may. Then treat me as you would desire to be treated yourself upon a change of  
1013 circumstances. Point me out a better way than I have yet known. Show me it is so  
1014 by plain proof of Scripture. And if I linger in the path I have been accustomed to  
1015 tread, and am therefore unwilling to leave, labour with me a little, take me by the  
1016 hand, and lead me as I am able to bear. But be not displeased if I entreat you not

---

<sup>52</sup> John Wesley, “Catholic Spirit,” *Sermons II*, edited by Albert C. Outler, *The Works of John Wesley*, volume 2 (Nashville: Abingdon Press, 1985), pp.83-84.

1017 to beat me down in order to quicken my pace. I can go but feebly and slowly at  
1018 best—then, I should not be able to go at all. May I not request of you, farther, not  
1019 to give me hard names in order to bring me into the right way? Suppose I was  
1020 ever so much in the wrong, I doubt this would not set me right. Rather it would  
1021 make me run so much the farther from you—and so get more and more out of the  
1022 way.

1023 10. Nay, perhaps, if you are angry so shall I be too, and then there will be small  
1024 hopes of finding the truth. If once anger arise, *eute kapnos* (as Homer somewhere  
1025 expresses it), this smoke will so dim the eyes of my soul that I shall be able to see  
1026 nothing clearly. For God’s sake, if it be possible to avoid it let us not provoke one  
1027 another to wrath. Let us not kindle in each other this fire of hell, much less blow it  
1028 up into a flame. If we could discern truth by that dreadful light, would it not be  
1029 loss rather than gain? For how far is love, even with many wrong opinions, to be  
1030 preferred before truth itself without love? We may die without the knowledge of  
1031 many truths and yet be carried into Abraham’s bosom. But if we die without love,  
1032 what will knowledge avail? Just as much as it avails the devil and his angels!

1033 The God of love forbid we should ever make the trial! May he prepare us for the  
1034 knowledge of all truth, by filling our hearts with all his love, and with all joy and  
1035 peace in believing.<sup>53</sup>

---

<sup>53</sup> John Wesley, “The Preface” to *Sermons on Several Occasions*, volume 1 (1746), in *Sermons I*, edited by Albert C. Outler, *The Works of John Wesley*, volume 1 (Nashville: Abingdon Press, 1984), p. 107. The Greek for “like a puff of smoke” has been transliterated here.



1059 all its other forms, is inseparable from Word and Sacrament: it is guided (and judged) by the  
1060 living Word, and it is sustained and continually renewed by the grace of God's sacramental  
1061 presence.

1062         The way the church orders its own life is itself an aspect of its witness to the world.  
1063 When its polity enables and manifests an openness to the community-forming power of the Holy  
1064 Spirit, when it serves the church's mandate "to maintain the unity of the Spirit in the bond of  
1065 peace" (Ephesians 4:3) with such power and clarity as to bring to humankind a new  
1066 understanding of the possibilities for fruitful life together, then it has fulfilled its purpose.

1067         In this, as in much else, it is probably safe to say that we in The United Methodist Church  
1068 have not yet arrived at the goal (cf. Philippians 3:12). There are, however, resources within our  
1069 own tradition that might bring us closer to that goal, if we were to make wise use of them.

1070         In a study published in 1998, two political scientists observed that, because of its broad  
1071 socio-economic and cultural makeup, United Methodism in the United States often tends to  
1072 mirror the range of values and stances on issues of its surrounding society, rather than offering a  
1073 clear and unified witness to that society.<sup>54</sup> But they noted that this same breadth of  
1074 representation of differing views on matters of common concern also gives United Methodism a  
1075 "potential to both contain diverse social stands and knit together gaps within the social fabric,"  
1076 and ventured to suggest that the exercise of this potential might constitute this church's  
1077 "distinctive contribution" to public life. They went on to propose that the realization of this  
1078 promise would require the church to improve its "policy-making procedures," so as "to

---

<sup>54</sup> John C. Green and James L. Guth, "United Methodists and American Culture: A Statistical Portrait," *The People(s) Called Methodist: Forms and Reforms of Their Life*, edited by William B. Lawrence, Dennis M. Campbell, and Russell E. Richey (Nashville, Tennessee: Abingdon Press, 1998), pp. 27-52. See especially the introduction and conclusion of the essay, pp. 27-28 and 49-50, from which the quotations here have been taken.

1079 encourage the development of genuine agreement rather than simply the expression of competing  
1080 points of view. . . . Methodists must realize that consensus does not emerge from diversity by  
1081 magic, and that it requires great institutional and personal commitment to achieve.”

1082         These political scientists’ observations and suggestions express, in non-theological  
1083 language, some important features of the situation we are in and the task before us as a church in  
1084 the realm of polity. The substantial growth and diversification of The United Methodist Church  
1085 across the world since the publication of their study only makes the situation and the task more  
1086 urgent and compelling.

1087         The preceding section, on “diversity and conflict,” offered a sampling of some of the  
1088 Wesleyan resources available to us that have to do with the sort of intellectual, emotional, and  
1089 spiritual maturity that we need if we are to be properly disposed toward the issues that we face  
1090 and toward one another as we face them. Much more could be said, and needs to be said, in that  
1091 connection, but the relevance of these resources to questions of polity is clear. We need forms of  
1092 polity that are consistent with our core convictions: that is, forms that honor the radically  
1093 inclusive scope of God’s saving grace, forms that recognize and build upon the transformative  
1094 character of that grace, and forms that will serve, rather than subvert, the growth of genuine  
1095 community. In that regard, a specifically polity-related Wesleyan concept deserves further  
1096 attention: the concept of Christian conference.

1097         “Conference,” in this usage, refers first of all neither to a meeting nor to those involved in  
1098 such a meeting—the two senses that may appear to us most obvious in United Methodist usage  
1099 today—but rather to a practice that Christians are to be engaged in. In one instance,<sup>55</sup> John

---

<sup>55</sup>See the “Large Minutes” of 1763, in *The Methodist Societies: The Minutes of Conference*, edited by Henry D. Rack, *The Works of John Wesley*, volume 10 (Nashville: Abingdon Press, 2011), pp. 855-858. The 1782 hymn by John Fawcett, “Blest Be the Tie That Binds” (UMH

1100 Wesley referred to Christian conference as an “instituted means of grace,” that is, as a practice  
1101 incumbent upon Christians and meant to foster our growth in “holiness of heart and life.” It is  
1102 one of the ways God helps us to help one another toward maturity in faith, hope, and love. It  
1103 involves elements of prayerful, honest self-examination, of “speaking the truth in love” to one  
1104 another, of mutual accountability and support, and of careful deliberation as to how we are to  
1105 conduct ourselves in the future. The practice of Christian conference goes on under many forms,  
1106 including one-on-one conversations between Christians, small group meetings of various kinds  
1107 and for various purposes, and even larger events such as those gatherings officially designated  
1108 as “Conferences” in United Methodist parlance. Ideally, the practice of Christian conference is to  
1109 some degree an aspect of virtually every encounter in the church, though in its more thorough  
1110 and intense forms it is best conducted within a more limited range of well-thought-out  
1111 circumstances and venues. As the minutes testify, Wesley’s relatively small regular conferences  
1112 with his preachers included strong elements of the practice, although its normal structured  
1113 settings within the early Methodist movement were the meetings of “classes” and “bands” within  
1114 the local Methodist societies. Much the same might be said of the situation in early North  
1115 American Methodism.

1116         How we might better avail ourselves of this means of grace in the church of the twenty-  
1117 first century, and particularly in our deliberations around polity, is an open question, and one that  
1118 deserves serious consideration.

---

557) expresses some of what “conference” is about, for example, “We share each other’s woes, our mutual burdens bear . . . .”



1119           The church is a gift of the Triune God. It is also a very human community and  
1120 institution. Both aspects of its reality need to be kept firmly in mind in all of our deliberations  
1121 and actions. We give thanks for the Church Universal, and for The United Methodist Church as  
1122 a particular part of that body with its own calling to fulfill as a sign and servant of God's saving  
1123 love for humankind, witnessing to and fostering the life of wonder, love, and praise that is the  
1124 proper vocation of every human being. But we also do well to remember that "we have this  
1125 treasure in earthen vessels."

1126           For God, who commanded the light to shine out of darkness, hath shined in our  
1127 hearts, to give the light of the knowledge of the glory of God in the face of Jesus  
1128 Christ. But we have this treasure in earthen vessels, that the excellency of the  
1129 power may be of God, and not of us.<sup>56</sup>

1130

1131

1132

---

<sup>56</sup> 2 Cor 4:6-7 KJV.

1133

## Wonder, Love, and Praise Response Questions

1134

1135

1136

1137

1138

1139

The Committee on Faith and Order (CFO) of The United Methodist Church is asking for your feedback as we continue to develop a document that will interpret the United Methodist understanding of the nature of the church. After a period of study, reflection and conversation the CFO will refine this current draft and present it to the 2020 General Conference in order that it might take a place alongside other official theological statements of the church. Your feedback is vital for the success of this process.

1140

1141

1142

1143

1144

1145

If you are able, we would prefer that you provide your feedback by using the online survey available at [www.umc.org/CFOWonderLovePraise](http://www.umc.org/CFOWonderLovePraise). (The online survey is in the English language only. We are encouraging members of the central conferences to create methods for the gathering and organizing of feedback that best suit each individual context.) If you are unable to complete the online survey, you may respond to the questions below and e-mail your responses to [cfo@umc-cob.org](mailto:cfo@umc-cob.org).

1146

1147

1148

1149

1150

1151

Persons who have engaged the study material may submit the survey or response questions individually or you may compile the results of your group and submit one survey or response questions document for the whole group. Please direct any questions you may have to [cfo@umc-cob.org](mailto:cfo@umc-cob.org).

1152

### General Information:

1153

1154

1155

**Name:****Conference Membership:**

1156

1157

1158

1159

1160

**I am completing this form on behalf of (report one):**      myself                  a larger study group

1161

1162

1163

**If reporting on behalf of a group, how many persons on average attended your study sessions?**

1164

1165

1166

**What *best* describes you or your group make-up in relationship to The UMC? (Report one from each category; if reporting for a group make selections based on the majority make-up of the group):**

1167

1168

1169

1170

1171

1172

1173

1174

1175

1176

<b>Category 1:</b>	Laity	Clergy
<b>Category 2:</b>	Non-Church Vocation	Serving a Local UMC Congregation
	Employed by a General Agency or other UM Institution (non-academic)	
	Seminary Student	Seminary or other Professor in a Theological Discipline

1177

1178

1179

1180

1181

*(If you are not United Methodist, are one of our ecumenical partners, or are a member of another Methodist or Wesleyan denomination, please e-mail [cfo@umc-cob.org](mailto:cfo@umc-cob.org) for feedback instructions.)*

1182 **Response Questions:**

1183

1184 **On a scale of 1-5, with 1 being “strongly disagree” and 5 being “strongly agree”, please respond to the**  
 1185 **following statements:**

1186

I recognize The United Methodist Church in the materials presented in this document.

1188

1 2 3 4 5

1189

1190

There are themes and topics essential to The UMC this document did not address.

1192

1 2 3 4 5

1193

1194

This document is teachable in my community/context.

1196

1 2 3 4 5

1197

1198

The document’s themes, concepts and overall content are clear and easy to understand.

1200

1 2 3 4 5

1201

1202

1203 **Please elaborate on your responses to the above questions by providing brief answers to the following:**

1204

In what ways do you recognize The UMC in this document? What themes or topics found within it best articulate who we are as United Methodists?

1207

1208

Is there anything essential to the life of The UMC that is missing from this document?

1209

1210

1211

What specific aspects of and/or new sights from the document have inspired you?

1212

1213

1214

In what ways might the document be improved to better speak to your community/context?

1215

1216

1217

What concepts or themes need to be clarified?

1218

1219

1220

Is there any other feedback you would like to offer?

1221

1222